

THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was not written for publication in a law journal and (2) is not binding precedent of the Board.

Filed by: Merits Panel
Box Interference
Washington, D.C. 20231
Tel: 703-308-9793
Fax: 703-305-0942

Paper No. 81

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

ULRICH M. GOESELE and VOLKER E. LEHMAN

Junior Party,¹

v.

LEIGH-TREVOR CANHAM, JOHN M. KEEN,
and WENG Y. LEONG

Senior Party.²

Patent Interference No. 103,954

Before, SCHAFFER, LEE, TORCZON, Administrative Patent Judges.

¹ Patent No. 5,206,523, based on application 07/751,800, filed August 29, 1991. Assigned to The Secretary of State for Defence in her Britannic Majesty's Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

² Application 08/233,338, filed April 26, 1994. Accorded the benefit of application 07/852,208, filed June 4, 1992, now Patent No. 5,348,618, issued September 20, 1994; PCT application GB90/01901, filed December 6, 1990; United Kingdom application 8927709.9, filed December 7, 1989. Assigned to The Secretary of State for Defence in her Britannic Majesty's Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

Interference No. 103,954
Goesele v. Canham

Lee, Administrative Patent Judge.

JUDGMENT

Junior party's counsel does not dispute that the involved patent of the junior party has now been transferred or assigned to the assignee of the senior party's involved application. (Paper No. 76).

In response to the show cause order of August 30, 1999, the common assignee, through counsel, has requested entry of judgment against junior party Goesele and in favor of senior party Canham. (Paper No. 80). The request is **granted**.

Accordingly, judgment is herein entered against the junior party. Ulrich M. Goesele and Volker E. Lehman are not entitled to their patent claims 1-6 which correspond to the sole count. On this record, the senior party, Leigh-Trevor Canham, John M. Keen, and Weng Y. Leong, is entitled to its application claims 20-40 which correspond to the sole count.

_____)	
Richard E. Schafer)	
Administrative Patent Judge))	
)	
)	
)	BOARD OF PATENT
_____)	APPEALS
Jameson Lee)	AND

Interference No. 103,954
Goesele v. Canham

Administrative Patent Judge)
)
)
)
)

Richard Torczon)
Administrative Patent Judge)

INTERFERENCES

Counsel for the junior party Goesele:

Franklin H. Cocks
Duke University Box 90300
Durham, North Carolina 27708-0300

Counsel for the senior party Canham:

Stanley C. Spooner
Nixon & Vanderhye, P.C.
1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor
Arlington, Va 22201-4714