

THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today
(1) was not written for publication in a law journal and
(2) is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 53

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte WOLFGANG WANZKE, GUNTER SIEGEMUND
and WILFRIED SCHMIEDER

Appeal No. 1996-0532
Application 08/080,449¹

REQUEST FOR REHEARING

Before WINTERS, GRON, and ROBINSON, Administrative Patent
Judges.

GRON, Administrative Patent Judge.

¹ Application for patent filed June 21, 1993. According to applicant, this application is a continuation of Application 07/954,412, filed September 30, 1992, now abandoned; which is a continuation of Application 07/580,588, filed September 11, 1990, now abandoned. Applicants also claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119 of the September 13, 1989, filing date of Fed. Rep. Germany P 39 30 507.4.

Appeal No. 1996-0532
Application 08/080,449

REQUEST FOR REHEARING UNDER 37 CFR § 1.197(b)

On January 24, 2000, appellants filed "Response To Decision On Appeal Under 35 U.S.C. § 134", in effect requesting rehearing of our Decision on Appeal Under 35 U.S.C. § 134, mailed November 17, 1999 (Paper No. 51) under 37 CFR § 1.197(b). Other than commentary with regard to applicable fees, the paper reads
(page 1 of 2 pages):

In response to the Decision mailed November 17, 1999, the Applicants request that the application be reheard under §1.197(b) by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences upon the same record. (See option no. 2 at page 15 of the Decision).

Pertinent to our ruling on appellants' request for rehearing under 37 CFR § 1.197(b), the regulation requires:

The request for rehearing must state with particularity the points believed to have been misapprehended or overlooked in rendering the decision and also state all other grounds upon which rehearing is sought.

Because appellants have provided no justification whatsoever for rehearing this appeal, it is hereby ORDERED that:

Appellants' request for rehearing of Appeal No. 1996-0532 under 37 CFR § 1.197(b) is denied.

Appeal No. 1996-0532
Application 08/080,449

No time period for taking any subsequent action in connection with this appeal may be extended under 37 CFR § 1.136(a).

DENIED

SHERMAN D. WINTERS)	
Administrative Patent Judge)	
)	
)	
)	BOARD OF PATENT
TEDDY S. GRON)	
Administrative Patent Judge)	APPEALS AND
)	
)	INTERFERENCES
)	
DOUGLAS W. ROBINSON))
Administrative Patent Judge)	

TSG/jlb

Appeal No. 1996-0532
Application 08/080,449

CONNOLLY AND HUTZ
P. O. BOX 2207
WILMINGTON , DE 19899